IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JUSTIN PHILLIP HOOVER	•
JOSTIN I IIILLII 1100 VLIK	•

42 Eagle Drive Ephrata, PA 17522

CIVIL ACTION

Plaintiff,

No.

v.

ROSENBERGER NORTH AMERICA

AKRON, LLC 309 Colonial Drive Akron, PA 17501 **JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

Defendant.

Defendant.

CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, Justin Phillip Hoover, (*hereinafter* referred to as "Plaintiff"), by and through his undersigned counsel, hereby avers as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. Plaintiff has initiated this action to redress violations by Rosenberger North America Akron, LLC (hereinafter referred to as "Defendant") for violations of the Uniformed Services Employment and Reemployment Rights Act ("USERRA" - 38 U.S.C. § 4301 *et. seq.*). As a result of Defendant's unlawful actions, Plaintiff has suffered the damages as set forth herein.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction over the instant action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343(a)(4) because it arises under the laws of the United States and seeks redress for violations of federal laws. There lies supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's

state-law claims because they arise out of the same common nucleus of operative facts as Plaintiff's federal claims asserted herein.

- 3. This Court may properly assert personal jurisdiction over Defendant because its contacts with this state and this judicial district are sufficient for the exercise of jurisdiction over Defendant to comply with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice, satisfying the standard set forth by the United States Supreme Court in *Int'l Shoe Co. v. Washington*, 326 U.S. 310 (1945), and its progeny.
- 4. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1) and (b)(2), venue is properly laid in this district because Defendant is deemed to reside where it is subjected to personal jurisdiction, rendering Defendant a resident of the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

PARTIES

- 5. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein their entirety as if set forth in full.
 - 6. Plaintiff is adult who resides at the above-captioned address.
- 7. Rosenberger North America Akron, LLC is a Pennsylvania corporation engaged in the manufacture of impedance-controlled and optical connectivity solutions, providing high-frequency, high-voltage, and fiber-optic technology for mobile communication networks, data centers, test and measurement applications, automotive electronics, as well as high-voltage contact systems, medical electronics, and military and aerospace engineering. Rosenberger North America Akron, LLC is located at the above captioned address.
- 8. At all times relevant herein, Defendant acted by and through its agents, servants and employees, each of whom acted at all times relevant herein in the course and scope of their employment with and for Defendant.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 9. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein their entirety as if set forth in full.
- 10. Plaintiff was hired by Defendant on or about September 20, 2021, as a Systems Engineer.
- 11. During his employment with Defendant, Plaintiff was primarily supervised by IT Manager, Franklin Cunalata (hereinafter "Cunalata").
- 12. Throughout his employment with Defendant, Plaintiff was a hard-working employee, who performed his job well.
- 13. At all times relevant hereto, Plaintiff was and continues to be a member of the Pennsylvania Army National Guard (hereinafter "National Guard").
- 14. Plaintiff would occasionally need to take time off from work to attend various National Guard trainings.
- 15. For example, in or about November of 2021, Plaintiff made Defendant aware that he had been ordered to full-time National Guard Duty, from on or about January 22, 2022, until on or about February 4, 2022, and provided a copy of his orders to Cunalata.
- 16. Plaintiff experienced hostility and animosity towards his military training/background and need to take off from work to attend various National Guard trainings from Cunalata.
- 17. For example, Cunalata would often question whether Plaintiff really had military training and informed Plaintiff that he would "have to ask around" to see if Plaintiff really needed to go to said training.

- 18. On or about April 6, 2022, Plaintiff received orders to report full-time National Guard Duty from on or about May 10, 2022, until on or about September 1, 2022. Plaintiff provided Cunalata with a copy of his orders.
- 19. Almost immediately after informing management, including but not limited to Cunalata, of his upcoming approximately 4-month National Guard Duty, Plaintiff was subjected to increased animosity and hostility from Cunalata because of his military status and required training. By way of example, but not intended to be an exhaustive list:
 - a. Cunalata initially informed Plaintiff, "well I just won't let you go." When Plaintiff advised Cunalata that it was illegal to refuse to allow him to report to National Guard Duty, Cunalata responded that he "would have to ask around to make sure that [Plaintiff was] not lying"; and
 - b. Cunalata then tried to convince Plaintiff to "just not go." When Plaintiff advised Cunalata that a refusal to follow orders to report to National Guard Duty could result in him being subjected to a dishonorable discharge and/or serious military charges against him, Cunalata continued to admonish Plaintiff for having to take off from work for training.
- 20. As a result of the unfair treatment he was receiving from Cunalata with regard to having to take off work to report to National Guard training, Plaintiff complained to Defendant's Human Resources ("HR"), and provided a copy of his Drill Schedule and orders.
- 21. When HR advised Plaintiff to reach out to Cunalata directly with his concerns, Cunalata angrily berated Plaintiff for reaching out directly to HR.
- 22. Cunalata then grudgingly allowed Plaintiff to take time off for National Guard Duty training, from on or about May 10, 2022, to on or about September 1, 2022, but mockingly

stated to Plaintiff, "I guess giving you your job back when you return from National Guard Duty counts as giving back to our country."

- 23. When Plaintiff returned from full-duty National Guard training in early September of 2022, he took over Cyber Security duties for Defendant, as a result of a Certification he had acquired while training with the National Guard.
- 24. However, rather than providing Plaintiff with an opportunity, as he initially thought, Defendant's management, including but not limited to Cunalata began to overload him with duties to the extent that Plaintiff was required to perform the work of two separate job positions.
- 25. Following Plaintiff's return from National Guard duty in September of 2022, Cunalata also continued to make disparaging comments about Plaintiff's approximately 4-month leave from work for training and his status in the military.
- 26. Thereafter, on or about January of 2023, Plaintiff reached out to Cunalata about a possible raise, given that he was now performing the work of two employees for Defendant.
- 27. In response to Plaintiff's request for a raise in or about January of 2023, Cunalata informed Plaintiff that management felt he was "hurting the company," as he had been gone for over 4 months last year (*i.e.*, for full-duty National Guard training).
- 28. When Plaintiff responded to Cunalata that he had only taken time off from work from May 10, 2022, until on or about September 1, 2022, for full-duty National Guard training, which he was obligated by law to report for, Cunalata did not reply.
- 29. Plaintiff was then abruptly terminated on or about February 6, 2023, and informed by Cunalata that Defendant was "going in a different direction," without further detail.

30. Plaintiff believes and therefore avers that he was actually terminated because of his status and obligations as an active member of the Pennsylvania Army National Guard.

COUNT I

<u>Violations of the Uniformed Services Employment and Reemployment Rights Act</u> ("USERRA" - 38 U.S.C. § 4301 et. seq.) (Discrimination & Retaliation - Wrongful Termination)

- 31. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
 - 32. Plaintiff is an active member of the military, and he has military obligations.
- 33. Defendant's management, including but not limited to Cunalata, exhibited hostility towards Plaintiff's military obligations, including advising Plaintiff that he should "just not go," questioning whether Plaintiff really had military training or was lying, and informing Plaintiff that he would "have to ask around" to see if Plaintiff really needed to go to said training.
- 34. Plaintiff believes and therefore avers that he was terminated from Defendant and not permitted to retain his employment with Defendant due to his military obligations, status, and affiliation, including his time off from work.
 - 35. Defendant's actions of terminating Plaintiff constitute violations of USERRA.

COUNT II

Pennsylvania Military Affairs Act ("PMAA"), as amended, 51, Pa.C.S.A. §§ 7301, et seg. (Discrimination & Retaliation - Wrongful Termination)

- 36. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
- 37. Plaintiff re-asserts and re-alleges each and every all allegations as set forth in Count I of his Complaint, as these actions constitute identical violations of the PMAA.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that this Court enter an Order providing that:

A. Defendant is to promulgate and adhere to a policy prohibiting discrimination and

retaliation in the future against any employee(s);

B. Defendant is to compensate Plaintiff, reimburse Plaintiff, and make Plaintiff

whole for any and all pay and benefits Plaintiff would have received had it not been for

Defendant's illegal actions, including but not limited to back pay, front pay, salary, pay

increases, bonuses, insurance, benefits, training, promotions, reinstatement and seniority;

C. Plaintiff is to be awarded liquidated and/or punitive damages, as permitted by

applicable law, in an amount believed by the Court or trier of fact to be appropriate to punish

Defendant for its willful, deliberate, malicious and outrageous conduct and to deter Defendant or

other employers from engaging in such misconduct in the future;

D. Plaintiff is to be accorded other equitable and legal relief as the Court deems just,

proper and appropriate (including but not limited to damages for emotional distress, pain,

suffering and humiliation);

E. Plaintiff is to be awarded the costs and expenses of this action and reasonable

attorney's fees as provided by applicable federal and state law; and

F. Plaintiffs shall be permitted to have a trial by jury as requested in the caption of

this Complaint.

Respectfully submitted,

KARPF, KARPF & CERUTTI, P.C.

By:

Ari R. Karpf, Esq. 3331 Street Road

Two Greenwood Square, Suite 128

Bensalem, PA 19020

(215) 639-0801

Dated: March 23, 2023

7

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

<u> Felephone</u>	FAX Number	E-Mail Address		
(215) 639-0801	(215) 639-4970	akarpf@karpf-law.com		
Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for		
3/23/2023 .		Plaintiff		
(f) Standard Management -	Cases that do not fall into an	y one of the other tracks.	(X)	
commonly referred to as	ases that do not fall into track complex and that need special de of this form for a detailed	al or intense management by	()	
(d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.				
(c) Arbitration - Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.				
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.				
a) Habeas Corpus - Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.				
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	DLLOWING CASE MANA	GEMENT TRACKS:		
plaintiff shall complete a Car filing the complaint and serve side of this form.) In the e designation, that defendant s the plaintiff and all other par to which that defendant belief	se Management Track Designer a copy on all defendants. (So vent that a defendant does not hall, with its first appearance ties, a Case Management Trackers the case should be assignered.		ime of everse g said rve on	
Rosenberger North America	•	Reduction Plan of this court, court		
Daranhaman Namih Amania	Almon IIC	NO.		
V.	:			
Justin Phillip Ho	over	CIVIL ACTION	•	

(Civ. 660) 10/02

Case 5:23-cv-01140-JM CITED PORTES PRICT FUNDS 123/23 Page 9 of 10 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DESIGNATION FORM

(to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar)

Address of Plaintiff: 42 Eagle Drive, Ephrata, PA 17522						
Address of Defendant: 309 Colonial Drive, Akron, PA 17504						
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Defendant's place of business	_					
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:						
Case Number: Judge: Date Terminated:	-					
Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is answered to any of the following questions:						
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year Yes No X previously terminated action in this court?						
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit Yes No X						
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent—already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action of this court?						
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights Yes No X						
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.						
DATE: 3/23/2023 ARK2484 / 91538						
Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)						
CIVIL: (Place a $$ in one category only)						
CIVIL: (Place a √ in one category only) A. Federal Question Cases: B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:						
A. Federal Question Cases: B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts						
A. Federal Question Cases: B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 2. FELA 1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 2. Airplane Personal Injury						
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: 1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Marine Personal Injury						
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: 1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Marine Personal Injury						
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: 1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Marine Personal Injury 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify): 7. Products Liability	-					
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: 1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Marine Personal Injury 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify): 7. Products Liability	-					
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases						
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 7. Civil Rights 8. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: 1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Marine Personal Injury 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify): 7. Products Liability 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases						
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify): Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: 1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Marine Personal Injury 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify): 7. Products Liability 8. Products Liability - Asbestos 9. All other Diversity Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases 12. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 12. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 13. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 14. Marine Personal Injury 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify): 7. Products Liability - Asbestos 9. All other Diversity Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases 12. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 13. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 14. Marine Personal Injury 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. Other Personal Injury 7. Products Liability - Asbestos 9. All other Diversity Cases 18. Other Diversity Cases 19. All ot						
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases	-					
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	-					
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts						
A. Federal Question Cases: Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 2. FELA 2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Marine Personal Injury 5. Patent 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify): 7. Civil Rights 7. Products Liability - Asbestos 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 9. All other Diversity Cases 9. All other Diversity Cases (Please specify):	-					
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 2. FELA 2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Marine Personal Injury 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 7. Products Liability — Asbestos 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 9. All other Diversity Cases (Please specify): 1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 2. Airplane Personal Injury 2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Marine Personal Injury 6. Labor-Management Relations 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 7. Products Liability 7. Products Liability 8. Products Liability — Asbestos 9. All other Diversity Cases 9. All other Diversity Cases 9. All other Diversity Cases (Please specify): 7. Products Liability — Asbestos 9. All other Poiversity Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases Please specify): 7. Products Liability — Asbestos 9. All other Diversity Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases 12. Argument of the Contract of Contrac						
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	-					

Case 5:23-cv-01140-JMG Document 1 Filed 03/23/23 Page 10 of 10 CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as

provided by local rules of court purpose of initiating the civil do	. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE O	f the United States in September 19 <i>F THIS FORM</i> .)	974, is required for the use of the	e Clerk of Court for the
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	PLAINTIFFS DEFENDANTS			
HOOVER, JUSTIN PH	ILLIP	ROSENBERGER	R NORTH AMERICA AI	KRON, LLC
(b) County of Residence o	f First Listed Plaintiff Lancaster	County of Residence	of First Listed Defendant I	Lancaster
(E	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)	NOTE: IN LAND CO THE TRACT	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES OF ONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE FOF LAND INVOLVED.	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	Address, and Telephone Number)	Attorneys (If Known)		
	P.C.; 3331 Street Road, Two Greenwood	*		
Suite 128, Bensalem, PA	19020; (215) 639-0801; akarpf@karpf-	law.com		
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	
1 U.S. Government	X 3 Federal Question	(For Diversity Cases Only)	TF DEF	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a Party)	Citizen of This State	1 Incorporated or Princ of Business In Ti	
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2 2 Incorporated <i>and</i> Print of Business In A	
		Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 3 Foreign Nation	6 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Only) TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	Click here for: Nature o BANKRUPTCY	f Suit Code Descriptions. OTHER STATUTES
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education PERSONAL INJURY Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 3510 Motions to Vacate Sentence Souther S	Y 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 690 Other RTY LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Management Relations 740 Railway Labor Act 751 Family and Medical Leave Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act 1 Immigration 1 Milgration 1 462 Naturalization Application 462 Naturalization Application 1 462 Naturalization 1 462 Naturalization Application 1 462 Naturalization Application 1 462 Naturalization Application 1 462 Naturalization Application	' 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ' 423 Withdrawal	□ 375 False Claims Act ' 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
	moved from atte Court Appellate Court Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you at	Reopened Anoth (specify	' /	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	N USERRA (38USC4301) Brief description of cause: Violations of the USERRA.			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.	N DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint: XYes 'No
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions): JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE 3/23/2023	SIGNATURE OF AT	ORNEY OF RECORD		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY				
RECEIPT# AM	MOUNT APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUD	GE

Print

Save As..

Reset